Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/048,045	HAZENKAMP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>		
(1) <u>Gregory R. Del Cotto</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin Mansfield</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2004	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Bacher et al (US 5,965,506)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Linuch		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to add the language "Slow and controlled dissolving..." to claim 16. Additionally agreed to add the word "salen" before the words "manganese complex" Furthermore, agreed to change the word "comprise" to "consisting essentially of" throughout the claims and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.